

REMARKS

Claims 1-5 are pending in the application and are rejected in the final Office Action mailed on August 10, 2005.

This response seeks to convince the Examiner to remove the final rejection, or failing that to place the claims in better form for appeal by narrowing the issue for appeal in regard to the rejection

The foregoing amendments and the following remarks are believed to be fully responsive to the final Office Action, and are believed to place the application in condition for allowance.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 102

Pending claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by the Alivisatos et al. ('000) reference.

Claim 1 as proposed to be amended reads as follows.

1. *A light emitting display comprising:*

a first addressing electrode;

a second addressing electrode; and

*a nanomorph material layer having at least one nanomorph
non-polymeric organic compound which is positioned between the first
addressing electrode and the second addressing electrode and which is not a
linking agent.*

The Examiner states that Alivisatos et al. describes a *nanomorph material layer* 30 at col. 6, lines 4-13, having a *non-polymeric organic compound* at col. 6, lines 26-65. However, in Alivisatos et al. the *non-polymeric organic compound* is not nanomorph as compared to being nanomorph in amended claim 1. Moreover, it is a linking agent as compared to not being a linking agent in amended claim 1. Thus, claim 1 as amended is not anticipated by Alivisatos et al.

There is nothing in Alivisatos et al. that would lead one of ordinary skill in the art to change the *non-polymeric organic compound* to one that is "nanomorph" and "not a linking agent" as in amended claim 1. . This is particularly so in view of the description at col. 6, lines 26-65 in Alivisatos et al.


Accordingly, claim 1 as amended obviates the rejection of claim 1 and also renders the claim patentable over Alivisatos et al. Claims 2-5 depend from amended claim 1 and are believed to be patentable over Alivisatos et al. at least for the same reasons that amended claim 1 is stated to be patentable over Alivisatos et al.

Conclusion

It is respectfully submitted that, in view of the above amendment and remarks, this application is now in condition for allowance, a prompt notice of which is earnestly solicited.

The Examiner is invited to call the undersigned in the event that a phone interview will expedite prosecution of this application towards allowance.

Respectfully submitted,


Attorney for Applicant(s)
Registration No. 45,287

William R. Zimmerli/tt
Rochester, NY 14650
Telephone: (585) 588-2758
Facsimile: (585) 477-4646

If the Examiner is unable to reach the Applicant(s) Attorney at the telephone number provided, the Examiner is requested to communicate with Eastman Kodak Company Patent Operations at (585) 477-4656.